

SOS Steering Committee Agenda
Monday, December 19, 2016, (1-2:30 PM)
Earth Sciences Conference Room – SL118

Present: Yogesh Joglekar (President), Randall Roper (Secretary), Ben Boukai (Math), Nick Manicke for Rob Minto (Chemistry and Chemical Biology), Lin Li (Earth Sciences), Steve Boehm (Psychology), Ruihua Cheng (Physics), Snehasis Mukhopadhyay (Computer and Information Science), Simon Rhodes (Dean's office), David Skalnik (Dean's office), Doug Lees (Dean's office)
Not present: Jason Meyer, (Biology), Jane Williams (Dean's office)

1. Approval of agenda

The meeting began and the agenda was approved at 1:05 pm.

2. Approval of minutes from October and November 2016

The minutes from October 2016 were approved. The committee asked for additional time to review the minutes from November 2016.

3. Comments by Dean Rhodes

Dean Simon Rhodes (SR) talked about the recent flooding of the SL building. The flood was caused by a chilled cold water pipe break. There are a number of theories, but it is not known why the pipe broke. The damage is still being assessed and the School is talking to campus about repairs. A restoration company has been hired to restore the building.

Security of the building currently and shortly after the flood is a concern. Security and back-ups of electronic files is also a concern. Departments need to review their disaster plans. What is most important and what should be saved first? The university is self insured and is addressing the damages with those that were affected. There needs to be a meeting about prevention so this does not occur again. Also there should be a hierarchy of organization and teams to prevent damage and to report damage when it occurs. Security and notification needs to start at the department level.

Duo authentication is being rolled out and everyone in the school needs to make that adjustment.

The all-gender bathroom is going to happen. It will be a dedicated bathroom that will be carved out of a part of an existing men's bathroom in LD.

In spring campus meetings, there will be a discussion in of the policy that will determine the credentials that we expect from adjunct professors. Grad students will be classified as trainee teachers. Chairs need to discuss this with their departments.

4. Reports from Associate Deans

Doug Lees (DL) spoke about the IT audit that was passed by the school. There were 15 different points that were covered. Individuals in the department need to make sure that mobile devices are password protected.

David Skalnik (DL) talked about changes in postdoc salary. IU is going through with the salary increase at least until June 30, even though the law has been put

on hold. The new level of postdoc funding will be required for all grant applications that are submitted in the future.

5. Current business:

a. Review of online courses

- i. Receive information from representatives about department comments

The discussion about the review of online courses varied in the departments that reported. Many were uncomfortable with reviewing online courses for various reasons, including allowing reviewers to access to all points of the online course. The committee decided to contact the Center for Teaching and Learning to receive input on how to address the review of online courses. Randall Roper (RR) agreed to contact the CTL and ask them to come to a future steering committee meeting.

b. Administrative reviews

- i. Receive information from representatives about department comments

The committee discussed whether the clarified language should be discussed in the upcoming faculty assembly. There was some discussion if there were two reports made—one to the administrator and one to the faculty. It was clarified that there is only one report and it goes to both the administrator and faculty. The committee reiterated that it is charged with collecting the data, and faithfully reflecting the sentiment of the faculty back to the administrator. This needs to be done in a civil and respectful way. Some people find the annual review a time to air out all grievances with the administrators.

c. Resolution for JagTag cards

- i. Resolution was never sent in, JagTag will remain.

The JagTag will remain in a fashion. The Crimson card will be implemented across the IU system. We will pay to put a jaguar on our card. The committee discussed that it is fine to save money by having one card but also expressed fears of IU earning money from IUPUI students by selling branding from the card. No one is talking about how IU might use the card to make more money with the card. The new card should work on existing card readers.

d. Resolution for Concurrent Enrollment Program (CEP)/Dual Credit Program: Compliance with Higher Learning Commission Guidelines

This becomes an issue of money for us because students can bring in college credit that they earned in high school. The state no longer requires a Master's degree for teachers of dual credit (high school and college) courses. Should we as a school make a dual credit rule? Can we do this? Who will enforce it? The criteria should be simple. The committee wanted to find out what campus has in mind. RR will contact Kathy Johnson to find out the stance of IUPUI on this issue.

e. Faculty assembly: Friday, January 20, 2017

6. New business

Kathy Johnson and the executive committee are drafting a work arrangement for faculty. This will address faculty who may work remotely for a month or more. Is this really performing the advising work of faculty? What is the expectation of faculty work and being on campus? A desire for this clarification may come from new deans who are inheriting arrangements that had been previously made with certain faculty. When a draft of this statement is made, it will be passed along to members of the steering committee.

7. Next meeting

The committee expressed that Thursdays at 9 am would be a good time to hold steering committee meetings.

8. Adjourn